

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5123 of 1986

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

KAILASH R GUPTA

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

Appearance:

MR S N Soparkar for Petitioner
MR J N Patel, Addl.Central Govt.Standing Counsel
for Respondent No. 1 & 2
Ms. Paurani Seth. Advocate for respondent No.3
MR B R Shah, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR

Date of decision: 10/07/96

ORAL JUDGMENT

This Special Civil Application has been filed
requiring respondent No.3 - Ahmedabad Seeds' Merchants

Association (for short 'the Association') to amend its Articles of Association so as to form the Board of Directors of those persons, who represent the various conflicting interests such as buyers, sellers, millers, farmers, exporters and actual traders.

2. This Court, while issuing notice in September, 1986, directed the Central Government to decide the representation dated 2.9.1986 made by the petitioner within a period of 3 weeks from the date of receipt of the writ. In pursuance of the direction of this Court, the Central Government has decided the petitioner's representation by giving certain directions to the Association.

3. Mr J N Patel, learned Addl. Central Government Standing Counsel submits that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and as such this Special Civil Application has become infructuous. On the other hand, Mr S N Soparkar, learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are not fully satisfied with the decision of the Central Government and further with the implementation by the respondent No.3.

4. Be that as it may, it may be a matter of fresh cause of action but so far as this petition is concerned it has become infructuous.

5. This Special Civil Application is dismissed as having become infructuous. It will however, be open for the petitioner, if so advised, to challenge the decision of the Central Government or its implementation by the respondent No.3, in accordance with law. Rule discharged.

....